Does Video-Assisted self-evaluation improve patient-physician interaction?

Leonidas Panagiotakopoulos, MBBS Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine



Abstract

In this study we will evaluate the effect that self-observation has on the quality and effectiveness of providers' teaching. 6 Pediatric **Endocrine Fellows that are seeing patients in** the outpatient setting will be recorded and that video will be shown to them later on as a means of feedback.

The study will span 12 patient visits: there will be 6 topics repeated twice. The first 6 patient sessions will be recorded, each on a different 'bread and butter' topic for each Fellow. They will be shown to and reviewed by the Fellow right before the same patient visit topic for the 7th-12th patient visit. Fellows will be asked to score themselves in verbal and non-verbal communication, interpersonal interaction and professionalism.

In parallel, patients and their families will score the visit experience too with regards to the teaching provided by their doctor: This assessment will occur after each visit.

Background & Purpose

Medical school curriculums are universally expected to provide long hours of vigorous training on all aspects physician functions, apart from one of the most commonly used tools in everyday patientphysician interaction: body language and non-verbal communication. Furthermore, the higher one gets in the training ladder, these skills are assumed rather than taught, and, past residency, one stops receiving feedback on their non-verbal interactions with patients unless something egregious has happened.

Non-verbal communication is essential for effective, empathetic interpersonal communication, as is expected between patients and physicians, and it comprises a large portion of forming a positive or a negative opinion. To-date studies have shown that non verbal communication correlates with patient satisfaction and physician trustworthiness, but there has not been much literature to show how it can be used to improve one's performance in the medical field. Such literature does exist in other professions. Albeit equally important for physicians too, it is not part of routine training and assessment past the very early stages of medical school. With this study we aim to acquire data from physicians in latter stages of their training (PGY4 and above) that can be applied to graduate medical education settings of all levels.

Aim: Assess the effect of video-based selfevaluation of non-verbal communication has on patient-to-physician interactions in the outpatient pediatric endocrinology office

Study Protocol

2019 **Fellow** recruitment* July 2019 – September 2

Patient identification and scheduling **

- * Informed consent to participate in study obtained
- ** Patient and/or guardian informed of recording and its purpose and sign CHOA-approved consent form to video session. Given option to opt out of consent before the day of the visit, upon front desk registration or during the visit.

Pre-intervention September 2019 – June 2020 Visit 1: Visit 2: Visit 3: Visit 6: **RECORDINGS** Topic B topic A Topic C Topic F Reviewing of patient scoring and self-evaluation of each visit will be done within 72 hours of each repeat visit by enrolled providers (i.e. review visit 1 just before visit 7, etc)

Visit 8: Post-intervention Visit 7: Visit 12: Visit 9: RECORDINGS topic A Topic B Topic C Topic F

-AV equipment will be kept locked in the clinic office. -Video permanently deleted as soon as it is reviewed by Trainee and PI

Subject recruitment

- ☐ Location: Pediatric Endocrinology at CAP, 3rd floor
- ☐ Population to be studied: Physician Fellows and patients' families of our clinic (see below)
- ☐ Specific inclusion criteria:

Physicians (n=6):

PGY4, PGY5, PGY6 Pediatric Endocrinology Fellows enrolling after July 1st 2019

NEW endocrinology patients' parents (n= 72) in the above clinic with the following referral reasons:

- 1) virilization (i.e. body odor, pubic hair, armpit hair)
- 2) early puberty
- 3) acquired low thyroid function
- 4) high thyroid function
- 5) short stature
- 6) late puberty
- ☐ Specific exclusion criteria: parents of patients that do not have English as not their first language

Assessment tools

By parents right after each visit

know how you fell about the way your doctor interacted when not speaking to you. Your answers are complete ommunication with patient is a very important part of quality medical care. We would like to know how you fell confidential, so please be as open and honest as you can. Thank you very much. about the way your doctor communicated with you. Your answers are completely confidential, so please be as Please use the following provided scales to rate the doctor's non verbal comm pen and honest as you can. Thank you very much. Circle your answer for each item below lease use this scale to rate the way the doctor communicated with you 2. Treated me with respect . Showed interest in my ideas about my health . Paid attention to me (looked at me, listened carefully) 5. Let me talk without interruptions Gave me as much information as I wanted Talked in terms I could understand Checked to be sure I understood everything 10. Encouraged me to ask questions 11. Involved me in decisions as much as I wanted 12. Discussed next steps, including any follow-up plans 1 2 3 4 5 13. Showed care and concern 14. Spent the right amount of time with me 1 2 3 4 5

By physician before repeat visit

					to to	
				-		care. We would like to mily. Your answers are
			_			l learning. Thank you
very much.	ioiiiiative tia	iiiiig assessiiid	ent, they are for se	ii-reflection ai	iu seir-un ecteu	ricarring. mank you
very much.						
Please use the	following pro	ovided scales to	o rate your non ve	rbal communi	cation with the	e patient and family
Circle your ans	wer for each	item below.				
1. I had the fo	llowing facia	l expressivity:				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Unexpressive			neutral			very
						expressive
2. I smiled:						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Infrequently			neutral			very
						frequently
3. I made eye	contact with	me:				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Infrequently			neutral			very
						Frequently
4. I nodded w	hile listening	·:				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Infrequently			neutral			very
						Frequently
5. I mostly ap	-	-	ng: 4			-
1 backwards	2	3	4 neutral	5	6	7 forwards
Dackwarus			neutrai			TOTWARDS
		_	a way it appeared			_
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
closed up			neutral			open towards us

By PI after each visit

NAAS Behavior	Description	Code Calculation	
Paraverbal			
Talk Time	The proportion of each minute that the physician or patient talks for.	Duration of talk (secs)/60 (secs)	
Pause	The proportion of each minute that the physician or patient pauses for.	Duration of pause (secs)/60 (secs)	
Simultaneous Speech	Any instance where the first speaker is still speaking when the second speaker begins to speak, but the second speaker does not take the floor from the first speaker. This includes both back channels and unsuccessful interruptions. Proportion per minute calculated for each conversational party.	Duration of simultaneous speech (secs)/60 (secs)	
Speech Rate	The pace of speech produced by the physician or patient.	Number of syllables per minute/Duration of talk (secs)	
Interruption	Defined as a situation in which the first speaker is still speaking when the second speaker begins to speak, and the second speaker continues speaking while the first speaker stops.	Number of interruptions/Conversational partner's duration of talk (secs)	
Non-Verbal			
Smiling	A relaxation of the facial features, with lips parted or closed, and with the corners of the lips turned upward.	Number of smiles/60 (secs)	
Laughing	Vocalization, smiling, and movements of face and body that express amusement, exultation, or scorn.	Number of laughs/60 (secs)	
Gesturing	Movements of the forearm and hand, where a continuous movement is counted as one movement.	Number of gestures/Duration of talk (secs)	
Nodding	Instance of listener feedback, displayed through cyclical or continuous, up/downward or forward/backward motions of the vertical or sagittal plane.	Number of nods/Conversational partner's duration of talk (secs)	
Eye Contact	The duration of each minute that the conversational party focuses gaze on their partner's eyes or face.	Duration of eye contact/60 (secs)	